

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,037		05/10/2005	Christian Uphoff	123478	4702
25944	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
		IDGE, PLC	BARRY, CHESTER T		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,037	UPHOFF			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chester T. Barry	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 f</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	ewn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the l				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/531,037

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1 – 5, 7, 10-12, 14 – 15 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 102(b) over Heller for the reasons of record given at page 2 of the previous Office action (incorporated herein by reference).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 USC Sec. 103(a) over Heller and '309 for the reasons of record given at pages 2 – 3 of the previous Office action (incorporated herein by reference).

Claims 8, 9, 13 are rejected under 35 USC Sec. 103(a) over Heller for the reasons of record given at page 3 of the previous Office action (incorporated herein by reference).

Applicant's arguments filed 3/6/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the "microorganisms . . . bacteria interact" limitation of claim 1. The specific interaction set forth in the present specification at page 4 lines 22 – 32 is nowhere recited in the claims. Therefore, the interaction between Heller's photosynthetic bacteria and luminous bacteria, e.g., physical contact, consumption of nutrients from a common nutrient broth or solution, etc., is sufficient to meet the "interact" limitation of claim 1. Applicant also argue that Heller does not describe a "solution" of a mixed culture. It is inherent that Heller's mixed culture is a solution at some level even if some portions of the mixture are not fully miscible.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1724

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Chester T. Barry

Examiner

571-272-1152